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It is no secret among lawyers and judges that there is an access to justice problem in Colorado and nationwide. Approximately three out of four litigants are unrepresented, or pro se, in civil and domestic relations cases where there is no constitutional right to counsel. The increasing cost of legal services coupled with the recent recession have made hiring a lawyer unaffordable not just for the poor but also for many moderate-income individuals. Pro se litigants are generally unfamiliar with court procedures and the complexities of the law and pose significant challenges to the efficient operation of the courts.

This article examines the access to justice problem here in Boulder County and Colorado, recent efforts in Colorado and in other states to address the problem, and what more can be done by lawyers to increase the availability of civil legal services to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Legal Aid

The Legal Services Corporation (LSC) establishes the framework by which the indigent receive free civil legal services. LSC funds 134 independent nonprofit legal aid programs with more than 800 offices, including Colorado Legal Services, which has fourteen offices throughout Colorado. LSC-funded programs help people who live in households with annual incomes at or below 125% of the federal poverty guidelines – in 2013, $14,363 for an individual, $29,438 for a family of four – in cases involving family law, housing and foreclosure, public benefits, and other civil legal issues.

The demand for free civil legal services far outstrips the resources available, forcing LSC to turn away more than half of all eligible applicants seeking assistance. As a result, legal aid providers must decline certain types of cases even if a prospective client qualifies based on income. For example, Boulder County Legal Services (BCLS) does not assist in post-decree domestic relations matters, such as parenting time disputes and modifications of child support and maintenance.

Access to Justice in Boulder County and Colorado

The number of pro se litigants in Colorado has increased dramatically in the last few years. Two-thirds of all domestic relations cases filed in Colorado in fiscal year 2013 had no attorney on the case, representing a 57% increase from 2001. Of the 69,435 parties involved in these cases, 76% did not have representation. 59% of the parties in county civil cases filed in Colorado in fiscal year 2013 did not have representation, but this figure is significantly higher – 98% – when limited to the responding party, which includes debtors, tenants, and other parties who generally have limited means.
The percentage of pro se litigants filing in Boulder County is slightly lower than the state average, but the data suggests a justice gap: 63% of domestic relations cases filed in the 20th Judicial District (JD) in fiscal year 2013 had no attorney on the case (70% of the parties involved did not have representation), and 58% of the parties in county civil cases filed in the 20th JD did not have representation.7

Interestingly, Colorado fares well compared to other states in several indices measuring the resources available to litigants who are pro se, disabled, and non-English speaking, but ranks in the bottom seven states in terms of the number of civil legal aid attorneys as a percentage of the total population.8

**Bridging the Justice Gap**

Various measures have been taken in response to the growing number of pro se litigants in Colorado and nationwide, including: providing self-help resources at courthouses; rule changes designed to encourage pro bono attorney participation through “unbundled” legal services, or limited representation; lawyers increasingly utilizing reduced fee (“low bono”) and sliding scale fee arrangements; and the use of law students and other non-lawyers to provide legal services in limited circumstances.

**Technology and Legal Information**

All fifty states have online sources of legal information to help individuals in civil legal cases.9 In Colorado, courts have published many judicial forms online for use by pro se litigants.10 In addition, each trial court in Colorado has a pro se litigant coordinator, family court facilitator, and other staff available to provide general information to pro se litigants. However, court staff are not permitted to provide legal advice.11

**Pro Bono Assistance and Limited Scope Representation**

Pro bono assistance from the private bar has long been and remains a key part of providing civil legal services to the poor. The Boulder County Bar Association (BCBA) is known for its spirit of volunteerism in this regard, with attorneys providing a total value of $1,079,000 in pro bono hours through BCLS in 2013.12

C.R.C.P. 11(b), C.R.C.P. 311(b), and Colo. RPC 1.2(c) authorize an attorney to enter a limited appearance on behalf of a client. In 2011, the Colorado Supreme Court amended C.R.C.P. 121, Section 1-1 to clarify the process by which an attorney provides notice of a limited appearance and notice of completion at the conclusion of the proceeding without leave of the court.13 The rule change was designed to encourage more attorneys to provide assistance in pro bono and pro se matters.14 However, limited representation is not appropriate in all cases and remains impermissible in federal court.15
“Low Bono” Assistance

In recent years, lawyers have increasingly utilized “low bono” and sliding scale fee arrangements to make their services more affordable for modest means clients. In Boulder County, some lawyers (including the authors) have taken a unique approach to assist clients who cannot afford the services of a market-rate attorney by charging significantly discounted rates and providing a range of legal services tailored to the financial and legal needs of the client under the auspices of a nonprofit organization. Other nonprofit organizations around the country are addressing the justice gap in different ways, including establishing a referral network for private attorneys charging reduced rates and by funding fellowships for recent law school graduates interested in pursuing public interest careers.\(^{16}\) In Boulder County, the BCBA maintains a list of reduced-rate legal service providers and refers clients to participating lawyers.

Other Proposals

Various courts and legal commentators have proposed allowing law students and other non-lawyers to provide legal services in limited circumstances.\(^ {17}\) The two law schools in Colorado now require or encourage their students to complete a certain number of *pro bono* hours prior to graduation.\(^ {18}\) With proper training and supervision, non-lawyers have the potential to help *pro se* litigants in simple legal matters.\(^ {19}\) However, it is well documented that parties represented by a lawyer in civil and domestic relations proceedings achieve more favorable outcomes in court than those without a lawyer.\(^ {20}\)

Conclusion

The rise in *pro se* litigants in Boulder County and Colorado is consistent with national trends and significantly impacts the ability of many low- and moderate-income individuals to access the legal system, affecting where they live, work, and how much time they spend with their children. Efforts by the courts to increase self-help resources for *pro se* litigants are a good start, but significant gaps remain in the delivery of civil legal services. New models are emerging to provide “low bono” or reduced-rate civil legal services to clients who cannot afford a market-rate attorney but do not qualify for free legal aid because of income, matter type, or a conflict of interest.

The recent rule change in Colorado facilitating limited representation provides an opportunity for lawyers to assist clients of modest means for a specific proceeding or discrete legal task. Boulder lawyers can help bridge the justice gap by offering *pro bono* or “low bono” services and, when appropriate, by unbundling and consulting in their areas of expertise.
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